


# Collab Worksheet 6

CS440/ECE448, Spring 2021

Week of 3/17 - 3/22, 2021

## Question 1

Consider a Bayes network with three binary random variables,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , with the relationship and model parameters shown below:



$$P(A) = 0.4$$


$$P(B) = 0.1$$

$$P(C|A, B) = \begin{cases} 0.7 & A = \text{False}, B = \text{False} \\ 0.7 & A = \text{False}, B = \text{True} \\ 0.1 & A = \text{True}, B = \text{False} \\ 0.9 & A = \text{True}, B = \text{True} \end{cases}$$

- (a) What is  $P(C)$ ? Write your answer in numerical form, but you don't need to simplify.
- (b) What is  $P(A = \text{True} | B = \text{True}, C = \text{True})$ ? Write your answer in numerical form, but you don't need to simplify.

### Question 2

Consider a Bayes network with three binary random variables,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , with the relationship shown below:



You've been asked to re-estimate the parameters of the network based on the following observations:

Token	$A$	$B$	$C$
1	False	True	False
2	True	True	False
3	False	False	True
4	False	False	True

- (a) Given the data in the table, what are the maximum likelihood estimates of the model parameters?

- (b) Your roommate discovers two extra training tokens, scrawled on a half-burned piece of notebook paper. Unfortunately, the two new training tokens are incomplete: they only contain measurements of  $B$  and  $C$ , but no measurements of  $A$ . Including the original four training tokens plus the two new ones, your dataset is now:

Token	$A$	$B$	$C$
1	False	True	False
2	True	True	False
3	False	False	True
4	False	False	True
5	?	True	True
6	?	False	False

Using the model parameters that you estimated in part (a) as input to the EM algorithm, what is the expected number of observations of the event  $A = \text{True}$ ?

### Question 3

Consider an astronomy problem with five variables:  $N$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $F_1$ , and  $F_2$ .  $N$  is the true number of stars in a particular small patch of sky. Two astronomers in different parts of the world are trying to measure the value of  $N$ . Unfortunately, their telescopes sometimes suffer a hardware fault: denote the occurrence of a hardware fault using the binary variables  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively, and specify that the probability of a hardware fault is  $f$ . If  $F_1 = \text{True}$ , then the measurement obtained by astronomer #1 is too small by at least three stars,  $M_1 \leq \max(0, N - 3)$ . If  $F_1 = \text{False}$ , then  $M_1 \approx N$ , but it might be too large or too small by one star (it might be  $N - 1$  or  $N + 1$ ). Suppose that  $P(M_1 = N - 1) = e$ , and  $P(M_1 = N + 1) = e$ . Similar arguments relate the variables  $F_2$ ,  $M_2$  and  $N$ , with exactly the same parameters  $e$  and  $f$ .

- (a) Draw a Bayesian network for this problem.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (b) Write out a conditional distribution for  $P(M_1|N)$  for the case where  $N \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $M_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Each entry in the conditional distribution table should be expressed as a function of the parameters  $e$  and/or  $f$ .
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (c) Suppose  $M_1 = 1$  and  $M_2 = 3$ . What are the possible numbers of stars if you assume no prior constraint on the values of  $N$ ?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (d) What is the most likely number of stars, given the observations  $M_1 = 1, M_2 = 3$ ? Explain how to compute this, or if it is not possible to compute, explain what additional information is needed and how it would affect the result.