A Framework for Conversational Arabic Speech Long Audio Alignment

Mohamed Elmahdy†, Mark Hasegawa-Johnson‡, Eiman Mustafawi‡

† Qatar University, Doha, Qatar
‡ University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
melmahdy@ieee.org, jhasegaw@illinois.edu, eimanmust@qu.edu.qa

Abstract

We propose a framework for long audio alignment for conversational Arabic speech. Accurate alignments help in many speech processing tasks such as audio indexing, speech recognizer acoustic model training, audio summarizing and retrieving, etc. In this work, we have collected more than 1400 hours of conversational Arabic besides the corresponding non-aligned text transcriptions. Automatic segmentation is applied using a split and merge approach. A biased language model (LM) is trained using the corresponding text after a pre-processing stage. Because of the dominance of non-standard Arabic in conversational speech, a graphemic pronunciation model (PM) is utilized. The proposed alignment approach is performed in two passes. Firstly, a generic standard Arabic AM is used along with the biased LM and the graphemic PM in a fast speech recognition pass applied on the current episode's segments. In second pass, a more restricted LM is generated for each segment, and unsupervised acoustic model adaptation is applied. The recognizer output is aligned with the processed transcriptions using Levenshtein algorithm. The proposed approach resulted in an alignment accuracy of 98.7% on the evaluation set. A confidence scoring metric is proposed to accept/reject aligner output. Using confidence scores, it was possible to reject the majority of mis-aligned segments resulting in more than 99% alignment accuracy.
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1. Introduction

Very often, audio speech data is associated with corresponding transcriptions; however they are not aligned (or synchronized) as in the case of meetings, lectures, podcasts, etc. Long Audio Alignment is a known problem in speech processing in which the goal is to automatically align a long audio input with the corresponding transcriptions. The problem usually deals with very long audio that can exceed one hour length. Accurate alignments can help in many speech processing tasks such as audio indexing, speech recognizer acoustic model training, audio summarizing and retrieving, etc. Manual alignment for large amounts of speech data could be very costly and inefficient.

Our goal, in this research, is to automatically align long speech audio and in particular for conversational Arabic. Most of prior work in long audio alignment has focused on English language as in (Hazen, 2006; Liu et al., 2003; Moreno et al., 1998) and to the best of our knowledge there is no prior work done for conversational Arabic long audio alignment. Conversational Arabic speech is mostly spontaneous with the dominance of dialectal Arabic that differs significantly from standard Arabic.

Moore et al. (1998) proposed a recursive long audio alignment approach. The approach is based on Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) and evaluated on English speech. A biased language model (LM) is prepared using the corresponding text to the audio file. ASR is applied on the entire audio file. Speech recognition results are aligned with original text. ASR is then reapplied on smaller segments with a more restricted LM between Anchors (common text between ASR results and original text).

Hazen (2006) provided some preliminary analysis of manual transcriptions which show that there is significant difference between human generated transcripts and what is actually being in the audio file. Hazen (2006) proposed a long alignment approach that is designed to detect and correct errors in the manual transcription.

Since disfluencies usually occur frequently in spontaneous speech, Liu et al. (2008) investigated a number of knowledge sources for disfluency identification. Their proposed system was based on acoustic-prosodic features, word-based, and repetition pattern language models.

In this paper, we propose an ASR-based long audio aligner for conversational Arabic speech. Unlike prior work that applies ASR on the whole long audio file, our proposed alignment approach starts with automatic segmentation to segment the audio file into small segments. This in turn speeds up ASR in addition to improving accuracy. Unlike the work of Moreno et al. (1998), language model restriction is not only applied between anchors, language model restriction is applied on all segments regardless of anchor rate. Since we are dealing with conversational Arabic with a significant dominance of dialectal Arabic, we propose grapheme-based acoustic modeling in which all short vowels and geminations are implicitly modeled in the AM (Billa et al., 2002). Furthermore, we propose a segment-based confidence scoring metric to score alignment results.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the collection of data sets used in this research. Section 3 describes the automatic segmentation approach. The proposed long audio alignment approach is described in Section 4. Experimental results are discussed in Section 5. Section 6 concludes this study.
2. Data Collection

We have collected around 2150 conversational episodes (or podcasts) from Al-Jazeera channel with overall length of more than 1400 hours. Episodes vary in length from 20-50 minutes. All episodes have been downloaded from YouTube with the highest available quality. The recordings are spanning from year 2008 to 2012. Audio tracks have been extracted, converted to monaural audio, and resampled to 16 kHz. All corresponding raw text has been downloaded from Al-Jazeeraer channel. A rule-based pre-processing stage is applied to the corresponding raw transcriptions to remove titles, headings, images, speaker's names, punctuation marks, etc. as shown in Figure 1.

Five episodes have been manually aligned so that they can be used in evaluating alignment accuracy. Later on, in this paper, we will refer to the manually aligned data as the evaluation set.

3. Automatic Segmentation

Unlike prior work that applies ASR on the whole long audio file, our proposed alignment approach starts with automatic segmentation to segment the audio file into small segments. Applying ASR on small segments can speed up decoding in addition to improving accuracy.

In this section, we propose an automatic split and merge segmentation approach that is applied to segment audio files into small segments of a customized average length of 5-10 sec. For each episode, the energy is computed at each sample with a frame window of 512 samples. Then the mean energy for the whole episode is estimated. Silence threshold is chosen to be a customizable fraction of the mean energy. Empirically, a fraction of 20% percent was found reasonable. Silence duration is configured to have a minimum of 350 ms. Minimum silence duration is important to avoid segmentation at gemitized consonants or at low energy sounds e.g. /s/. Thus, consecutive frames of duration more than 350 ms and with energy below the silence threshold are assumed to be a silence period. Segmentation is then applied at the center of each silence period. This results in a large number of short segments. Finally consecutive short segments are merged together as long as the merged segment does not exceed 10-sec.

4. Long Audio Alignment

4.1. ASR System Description

The ASR system is a GMM-HMM architecture based on the CMU Sphinx engine (Huggins-Daines et al., 2006). Acoustic models are all fully continuous density context-dependent tri-phones with 3 states per HMM trained with maximum likelihood estimation (MLE). The feature vector consists of 39-dimensional MFCC coefficients. During acoustic model training, linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and maximum likelihood linear transform (MLLT) are applied to reduce dimensionality to 29 dimensions, which improves accuracy as well as recognition speed. Decoding is performed in multi-pass, a fast forward Viterbi search using a lexical tree, followed by a flat-lexicon search and a best-path search over the resulting word lattice.

4.2. First Alignment Pass

For each episode, a biased bi-gram LM model is trained using the corresponding raw text with Witten Bell smoothing applied. Lexicon was restricted to cover only words in the transcriptions of the current episode. Non-Arabic words are excluded from the lexicon and replaced with a garbage model.

In conversational Arabic, speakers tend to use dialectal Arabic rather than standard Arabic. Moreover, they tend to make more grammatical mistakes like changing case endings (e.g. using /u/ rather than /i/). Because of the diglossic nature of Arabic varieties (Ferguson, 1959), it is hard to estimate all pronunciation variants for dialectal words and for all possible mis-pronunciations. Thus, we propose the usage of a graphemic pronunciation model where the pronunciation is simply the word letters rather than the actual pronunciation. In this case, there is one pronunciation for each given word. A graphemic acoustic model is trained with more than 60 hours of standard Arabic speech data. In graphemic modeling, short vowels and geminations are assumed to be implicitly modeled in the acoustic model.

In the first ASR decoding pass, all segments for the current episode are decoded using the biased LM, restricted PM, and the standard Arabic AM. In the first pass, a relatively fast AM is used that consists of a mixture of 8 Gaussians per state. The ASR output is aligned with the processed transcriptions using Levenshtein distance algorithm. This way we can ensure error recovery where mis-alignment of a certain segment does not affect alignment of later segments. For more illustration, in Figure 2, we have included an example for aligning three consecutive segments. The first row shows the results of the speech recognizer. The second row shows final aligned transcriptions.

Anchor rate is determined by the number of correct words in ASR results, which are matched with the original text, divided by the total number of words in the original text. Anchor rate was found to be directly proportional with final alignment accuracy.

Figure 1. Pre-processing applied on raw transcriptions.
4.3. Second Alignment Pass

4.3.1. Unsupervised AM adaptation

Anchor rate was found to decrease in noisy segments and with dialectal Arabic segments where the acoustic features differs from the AM training data. In order to increase anchor rate and hence improving alignment accuracy, similarly to what was proposed in (Elmahdy et al., 2010), unsupervised AM adaptation is applied. Results from first alignment pass along with corresponding audio data have been used in unsupervised acoustic model adaptation using MLLR (Leggetter and Woodland, 1995). In the second pass we have used a better AM with a mixture of 16 Gaussian densities per state.

4.3.2. Restricted Language Modeling

We have found out that most of mis-alignments in the first pass occurs at segment boundaries with only one or two mis-aligned words. For this reason, it is more efficient to use restricted LM rather than a LM trained with the whole episode’s text. Alignment results from first pass are used to generate small restricted LMs for each segment. Each restricted model is trained using aligned text of the current segment along with the alignment of the previous segment and the alignment of following segment. Similarly to the first pass, the adapted AM along with the restricted LMs are used in batch decoding for all the segments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Align. pass</th>
<th>Anchor rate</th>
<th>Align. accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pass 1</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>95.4% 95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass 2 AM adapt.</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
<td>96.2% 96.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass 2 AM adapt./LM restriction</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>97.7% 97.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Alignment accuracy and anchor rate for the proposed alignment approach on the evaluation set.

4.4. Alignment Results

By applying the proposed alignment approach on the evaluation set, first alignment pass has resulted in an anchor rate of 84.6% and word alignment accuracy of 95.4% as shown in Table 1. In the second alignment pass, by using AM adaptation, anchor rate was 87.1% and word alignment accuracy was 96.2% as shown in Table 1. The significant increase in accuracy in the second pass is mainly interpreted to the mismatch between the acoustic model (standard Arabic) and the speech domain (conversational Arabic). Due to the adaptation applied in the second pass, the impact of this mismatch on ASR is decreased. By using restricted LM for each segment along with the adapted AM, anchor rate was significantly increased to 91.9% with word alignment accuracy of 97.7%.

We have found out that the majority of mis-aligned words tends to be with relatively shorter words. This can interpret the slightly better alignment accuracy when calculating the accuracy in terms of characters rather than words as shown in Table 1.

5. Confidence Scoring

Most of mis-alignment errors were found to be with segments having significant background noise (music, channel noise, cross-talk, etc.) or significant speech disfluencies (truncated words, repeated words, hesitations, etc.). It should be noted that in human generated transcripts, that are associated with long audio files, disfluencies are rarely transcribed.

For some speech processing tasks like acoustic model training, it is required to eliminate mis-aligned segments and non-speech segments from the training data. Also, in a semi-automated alignment process, it would be more efficient to identify mis-aligned segments, so that we can manually align them.

So, basically, in this section, we are proposing a confidence scoring metric to accept/reject aligner output. We have found out the anchor rate is highly correlated with the final alignment accuracy. The proposed confidence score for an aligned segment is basically the Levenshtein distance between the recognizer output and the aligned text, which is eventually the word anchor rate calculated for each segment.

We have tried different threshold values to filter out segments with low confidence score to check the improvement in alignment accuracy for the remaining segments. By considering segments with confidence score greater than 20.0%, it was found that 5.2% of the total aligned segments was filtered out as shown in Table 2. By filtering out segments with confidence score less than 20%, word alignment accuracy is increased to 98.4% as shown in Table 2. By increasing the threshold value to filter out segments with anchor rate less than 40%, word alignment accuracy is further increased to 98.5% with 6.2% of the segments filtered out. By considering only segments with a high confidence score greater than 90%, word alignment accuracy was significantly increased to 99.2% with 23.9% of segments filtered out, as shown in Table 2.
6. Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we have proposed a framework for conversational Arabic long audio alignment. Long audio files are automatically segmented using a split and merge approach. A biased language model (LM) is trained on the fly using corresponding text. Since phonemic pronunciation modeling is not always possible for non-standard Arabic words, a graphemic pronunciation model (PM) is utilized to generate one pronunciation variant for each word.

Initial alignment resulted in an accuracy of 95.4% on the evaluation set. After applying unsupervised acoustic model adaptation, alignment accuracy is increased to 96.2%. Restricted LMs have further increased alignment accuracy to 97.7%. Most of mis-alignment errors were found to be with segments having significant background noise or significant speech disfluencies. A confidence scoring metric is proposed to accept/reject aligner output. By using confidence scores, it was possible to reject the majority of mis-aligned segments resulting in more than 99% alignment accuracy.

For future work, we will investigate in improving conversational Arabic acoustic modeling using the proposed long audio alignment and confidence scoring applied on large amount of speech data, and comparing speech recognition results versus conventional manually labeled speech data.

7. Acknowledgment

This publication was made possible by a grant from the Qatar National Research Fund under its National Priorities Research Program (NPRP) award number NPRP 09-410-1-069. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Qatar National Research Fund. We would like also to acknowledge the European Language Resources Association (ELRA) for providing us with MSA speech data resources used in AM training.

References


